

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,491	03/10/2004	Ronald E. Dykes	312-101P-WLK	3036
LAW OFFICE	7590 06/15/200 S OF WILLIAM L. KL	EXAMINER		
2046-C JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			THALER, MICHAEL H	
STAFFORD, V	/A 22554		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/796,491	DYKES, RONALD E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Thaler	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .				
)⊠ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	•	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same same same sheet (s) including the same same same same same same same sam	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· · · · · ·	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been	pplication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	(
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 3731

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gerten (2004/0167540). Gerten discloses handle 11 and template portion 12 which is inherently capable of providing indentations on the corneal surface since the application of pressure on the corneal surface by the protrusions 14 will inherently indent it. Alternatively, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3731

that template portion 12 is capable of providing indentations on the corneal surface for this reason. As to claim 6, the central aperture of template portion 12 is a sight. As to claim 8, one of the protrusions 14, when considered separately, is a single protrusion. Note that applicant considers a protrusion 20 of the application to be a single protrusion even though there are other protrusions 20 and 18 on the instrument. As to claim 9, all of the protrusions 14 considered together define a plurality of protrusions. As to claim 13, protrusions 14 are located on a plurality of radii (i.e. one radius for each protrusion).

Claims 7 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerten (2004/0167540). As to claim 7, Gerten fails to disclose the specific shape of the sight. However, it is old and well known to so shape sights in order to obtain the advantage of precisely aligning two objects. It would have been obvious to so shape the Gerten sight so that it too would have this advantage. As to claims 18-20, Gerten fails to disclose the specific pattern of the protrusions. However, it is old and well known to so locate protrusions on corneal markers in order to obtain the advantage of precisely marking the cornea. It would have been obvious to so locate the Gerten protrusions so that it too would have this advantage.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,491

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cote (6,171,324). Note col. 3, line 22 to col. 4, line 3 of Cote.

Page 4

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cote (6,171,324). The Cote specification fails to specification indicate that the marking is temporary. However, it would have been obvious that it is temporary since the pressure marks are obviously not made with sufficient pressure as to permanently damage the eye.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note [0070] of Peyman (2005/0090895).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,491

Art Unit: 3731

mht

Day ou

Page 5

MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731